THE HON'BLE SRI JUSTICE GOPALAKRISHNA TAMADA

CRIMINAL REVISION CASE No.844 of 2002

DATED: 15-04-2010

ORDER:

Petitioner herein is the wife of the 1st respondent herein and the 2nd respondent is the mother of the 1st respondent. As the respondents are harassing the petitioner for dowry she gave a report and the Station House Officer, Jagtial Town Police Station registered a case in Cr.No.135 of 1996. After investigation, a charge sheet was filed against the respondents herein for the offences punishable under Sections 498-A and 201 IPC and the same was numbered as C.C.No.233 of 1997.

2. Petitioner was examined as P.W.1 and six more witnesses were examined on her behalf and Exs.P1 to P5 were marked. Though the respondents have not chosen to examine anybody, they got exhibited Exs.D1 to D25.

3. The learned Judicial Magistrate of First Class, Jagtial, having considered the entire evidence, both oral and documentary, came to the conclusion that the respondents are not guilty of the offences for which they were tried and accordingly acquitted the respondents vide his judgment, dated 07-02-2001. Aggrieved thereby, the State has not chosen to prefer any appeal. In that view of the matter, the

complainant/P.W.1 filed this revision invoking the jurisdiction of this Court under Sections 397 and 498-A IPC.

4. Heard both sides. Perused the records.

5. The brief facts are that P.W.1-Alla Haripriya is the wife of the accused No.1, and the accused No.2 is the mother of the accused No.1. The marriage of P.W.1 with A1 took place on 14-04-1995 at Guntur. P.W.1 stayed at her in-laws house for a period of two months. As the accused No.2 had grudge against P.W.1 due to small quarrels in the marriage she used rash words against P.W.1. Later, A1 brought P.W.1 to Jagtial where A1 is working as Cashier in the Indian Overseas Bank. At the instigation of A2, A1 harassed P.W.1 physically and mentally due to which P.W.1 lost her pregnancy and on 30-06-1996 at about 5.00 p.m. at Jagtial P.W.1 attempted to commit suicide by pouring kerosene on her body and she sustained burn injuries by setting fire to herself and on
30-06-1996 A1 and A2 gave false complaint to the police, Jagtial knowingly to escape from the offence committed against P.W.1. Therefore A1 and A2 committed the offences punishable under
Sections 498-A and 201 IPC.

6. Having considered the submissions made by the petitioner, on perusing the judgment impugned in this revision and also keeping in view the scope of this revision, this Court is not inclined to interfere with the

said well-considered judgment. This revision is devoid of merits and is liable to be dismissed.

7. In the result, the Criminal Revision Case is dismissed.

_____

GOPALA KRISHNA TAMADA,J

15th April, 2010.
Tsy